



and the ones who <sup>write</sup> ~~vote~~ are Democrats temporarily dissatisfied with their Senator. I was not overwhelmed with expressions of support."

He talked about Anderson's weakness. The polls showed Anderson 43 - Frenzel 38 with Frenzel having a lot of "unknowns". He said Anderson weakness has 'stayed with him'. Started because he appointed himself "which doesn't go over in American politics." Then "He came to Washington under difficult circumstances with Humphrey the demi-god as senior senator and Mondale in the White House. So he couldn't get a line of ink. Back home he threw out hockey pucks and cut ribbons. He had a beautiful wife and a nice family. But down here it's a different ball game and the impression has continued that he's weak. And he is weak. The press only pays attention when he makes mistakes. They lambasted him when he appointed himself and have been giving him a bad press ever since. I used to think Republicans were the only ones who took it on the chin from the press. Not any more."

"A good Democrat will beat a good Republican in Minnesota ten times out of ten."

When I asked him why he was staying in the House when so many fellow Republicans were leaving, "Most of them wanted to run for the Senate when they first came here--Bill Cohen did; he almost ran against Muskie last time. Everybody knew John Heinz would try to be a Senator. They had ~~a~~ <sup>the</sup> burning ambition that I lacked. They always regarded the House as a stepping stone to the Senate. I always thought of the House as a stepping stone to somewhere else--private life."