

Sept 3/21/82

February 10, 1982

Hatch - "I can't deal with him. I had to go around him." I'm not the only one. No one can. I don't want that said, however. Maybe you can smooth it out somehow."

"I asked him to join me. But that's not his conception--joining. He's not a team player. He wants to be the leader. He thinks it should be his bill. Well it's my bill. I did all the work on it. I told him, 'Maybe you can get away with this with somebody else but not me.'"

"He did that to Don Nickles on black lung--took the bill away from him. You should talk to the staff on this. They can't get along with him either."

" Do you know anyone who gets along with him. I don't... The way he's going, he may be out of here... Hatch doesn't accomplish anything. What has he ever done? What has he gotten passed? Oh he got home health care out, but it's buried in the Finance Committee."

"It's been a bipartisan bill from the beginning. I never thought of it in any other way. It's my first major piece of legislation and it should be bipartisan. That's the way I think. That's what my instincts are. After all, it is a national problem. So I worked with Kennedy. I was perfectly happy to compromise as long as I didn't have to give up my basic principles. Besides, there's nobody you can get it out of committee unless it's bipartisan--not with Weicker going his own way. I want to pass this bill. CETA expires on September 30. I think there are some people in the administration who hope Congress can't come up with a bill. They may just continue CETA. No one wants that to set up a structure." "The administration doesn't like it. And they like it even worse because of Kennedy. I've lost

the Labor Department. The Cabinet Council is not supporting my bill because of it. I've got a call into Reagan now. He's the Chairman of the council. I want to tell him that I have the best bill. And Kennedy is the ranking member of that committee. They probably can't get anything through that committee unless they work with him." I've got to, damn it, have White House support. I've talked to Jim Baker about it."

"The administration wants us to postpone hearings two weeks--maybe even a month. There's no way I'll postpone them a month. If you wait for the Labor Department to come up with a bill, you'll wait forever."

The admin wants 2.4 "There's no way 2.4 will be passed up here. We can live with 3.0. I think I've got the votes on the budget committee to up it to 3.0." Under Hollings plan it's at 3.8. Even Domenici's original plan last year kept it at previous level. (I'm not sure what he meant when he said that.)

"I'm sure I've stepped on some toes and made some enemies--forever. But that's the way it is. No one said it would be easy."

He said "The Admin. might sell out to the mayors. But if they do they'll lose the business community and the governor. It's a very delicately balanced piece of legislation. It fell apart the last time because the mayors made such a mess of it."

Re big labor--"They want the Hawkins bill. But when they see the administration bill, they may like mine better. I may be in the middle."

Re Indiana. "Bob told you how he went around talked to all of them. I can't have the people in Indiana shooting at my back. They are all supportive."

"You'll have a chance to see all the ingredients in the bill. Every special interest group will get involved--maybe not the consumers."

He has not talked to Howard Baker. He has not talked to Domenici. He did tell members of the Wednesday Group that he was going to introduce a bill.

He talked to all the Republicans on the committee and will talk to the Democrats.

Sub
"I may take Eagleton or Riegle aside on the floor and say here's a bill of mine I'd like you to look at. But maybe I won't. We've just sent out our 'dear colleague.' I'd much rather have the thing work through their staffs first and then up that way instead of my lobbying them. My staff wants me to send over a letter and get them to sign up. I didn't operate that way in the House and I don't feel comfortable doing it here either. Some people lobby hard. I don't. Besides I want other things from these people. Why waste myself asking them to co-sponsor."

Sub
"The next big event is the hearings. After that I'll take it to subcommittee. Maybe we'll run into the Hatch thing again. He may insist on having it come up in full committee."

"I know I can get it out of subcommittee. And I think I've got the votes in full committe. My biggest trouble is on our side. I think I can get Eagleton and Will. I'll have trouble with Metzenbaum. But I may get Riegle, I don't know." He ticked em off.

When I went in, he said "This budget thing is a mess. No one knows what to do--except to 'examine all options. I'm saying no to nothing.'"

He's going on McNeil Lehrer tonight he said to talk re defense with Carlucci--so.

Re TV coverage of debate. "I'm for it." Was it a problem? "No, not with my background. I was for it in the House."